

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/790,954	03/01/2004		Robert D. Deley	6879P001	2162		
8791	7590	05/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY	SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE				
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3727			

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/790,954	DELEY, ROBERT	D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robin A. Hylton	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma	arch 2006.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/790,954

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should include at least one technical, or inventive, feature of the claimed instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-7,14,15,17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ryan et al. (US 2004/0094549). See the figures depicting the plug and a method for its use with a beverage cup lid.
- 4. Claims 1,4-8,10-14,16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wettermann et al. (US 3,542,231).

A metallic base portion **1** is partially embedded in plastic **5** and a protrusion **9,10** is formed of plastic. The base portion is of a first material different from the second material of the plug.

Regarding claim 1, the plug is dimensioned and is capable of being used with a conical shaped lid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan.

Ryan teaches the claimed apparatus except for the protrusion being of a different material than the planar base portion.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the protrusion of a different material than the planar base since and it appears

Application/Control Number: 10/790,954 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

that the plug of Ryan having different portions formed of different materials would perform equally well as the plug of applicant's invention. Having a protruding portion of a different material from the material of the planar portion allows for a more flexible material to be used for sealing the opening in an associated beverage container lid.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan in view of Wettermann.

Ryan teaches the claimed plug except for a hole, ring or clasp in the attachment region.

Wettermann teaches it is known to provide a plug with a hole in an attachment region.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of an attachment region having one of a hole, ring or clasp to the plug of Ryan. Doing so allows for the plug to be easily carried by the user or to be hung in a stationary location for easily locating the plug when needed.

7. Claims 2,3,9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wettermann.

Wettermann teaches the claimed plug except for the base being formed of plastic, paper, cardboard or cardstock.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the planar base of Wettermann of a hard plastic material which could be embedded by a softer plastic to from a protrusion for sealing an opening of a container and/or container lid. Doing so provides a plug of non-corrosive material that is equally capable of its dual, disclosed purpose.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,954 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,954 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

11. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

12.	It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time
has e	expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely
asse	rts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has
expir	ed, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Patent	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to The U.S t and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date ·

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382

Art Unit: 3727

- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH May 5, 2006

> Robin A. Hillton Primary Examiner

GAU 3727